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Tue April 17, 12:00-14:00 UTC

Translate to my time zone

Notice

This meeting is being held as part of the Raising the Floor Consortium. The Raising the Floor membership agreement, in particular its IPR policy, applies to the contents of the meeting.

Attendance

- Gottfried Zimmermann, Andy Stiegler, Christophe Strobbe (HdM)
- Gregg Vanderheiden, Kasper Markus (RtF-I)
- Andres (Technosite)
- Claudia Loitsch, Gerhard Weber (TUD)
- Colin Clark (IDRC)
- Jim Tobias (Inclusive Technologies)
- Julita Croll (SDC)
- Liddy Nevile (Sunrise)
- Steve
- Andy Heath

Updates (including user groups involvement)

- There is remote Participation possible with the World Summit of the Information Society meeting at the UN, in Geneva in May (14-18). Gregg will send information to the full group on how to do this or it is available at wsis.org click on the 2012 Forum link.
- There a proposal from the UK to try to harmonize the User Needs work of SWGA and Guide 71 with this CLOUD4All work

Discussion on Profile Structure

Refer to http://wiki.gpii.net/index.php/Discussion_on_Profile_Structure.

Registry* Topic 44: One class of items in the registry are called properties. (New topic; closed.

- Topic 19: Is the registry database hosted by Raising the Floor under reg.gpii.net? Changed to: "The registry is hosted by Raising the Floor International (for now under reg.gpii.net). It is intended to be referenced by ISO/IEC 24751" and closed.
- Topic 45: Services access the registry for the following use cases: retrieve definition, add definition, modify definition (only interally, not for public), with history. New topic; closed.
- Topic 25: What is our preferred practice for finding or adding properties? Changed to: "Anyone can request to add a property. A maintenance team will decide whether it is CORE or NON-CORE, COMMON or APPLICATION-SPECIFIC" and closed.
- Topic 33: A part of ISO/IEC 24751 define the process of adopting core and non-core properties into the registry on a regular basis? Discussion postponed until the editors of that standard are present.
- Topic 20: Should we have a web-based interface to provide access to the registry for the public? Changed to: "We should have a web-based user interface and API (e.g. RESTful) interface to provide access to the COMMON registry for the public. Use cases: Browse, request addition, request modification" and closed.
- Topic 47: Common properties are defined in the namespace http://gpii.net/ns/. Application-specific use proprietary namespaces. Changed to: "COMMON properties are defined in the namespace http://gpii.net/ns, APPLICATION-SPECIFIC properties must use other namespaces (e.g. http://microsoft.com/ns/, urn:upnp-schemas:something)" and closed.
- Topic 48: A property name is a URL which points to the definition of the property (definition is specified in issue #1). New topic; closed.
- Topic 21: Does a part of ISO/IEC 24751 define the data model of the registry? Changed to "A part of ISO/IEC 24751 should define the data model of the registry" and closed.
- Topic 20: Should we have a web-based interface to provide access to the registry for the public? Changed to "We should have a web-based user interface and API (e.g. RESTful) interface to provide access to the COMMON registry for the public. Use cases: Browse, request addition, request modification" and closed.
• Topic 49: Application-specific properties should be defined in a registry other than the common registry. New topic; changed to "APPLICATION-SPECIFIC properties should be defined in a registry other than the COMMON REGISTRY" and closed.
• Topic 16: Are the core properties the key definitions that have been accepted by ISO 24751? Changed to "The core properties should be the properties that have been accepted (as definitions) by a maintenance team to be described by the proposed ISO 24751 process. All other COMMON properties are NON-CORE" and closed.

Registry Maintenance

Action Items

Future Meetings
Tue Apr 24, 12:00-14:00 UTC

Future Agenda Items

1. One API or two APIs for the match maker?
2. Simple 1-to-1 relation between user profile properties and adaptation parameters?

Appendix A: Metadata Resources

• Email message by Andy on 2012-02-07. http://lists.idrc.ocad.ca/pipermail/accessforall2012-February/000034.html
• Schema.org: http://schema.org/
• NSDL Paradata standard: https://nsdlnetwork.org/stemexchange/paradata
• Link to Paradata learning registry: http://www.learningregistry.org/
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